

**CITY OF PITTSBURG
CITY COUNCIL/AGENCY CONCURRENT MEETING MINUTES**

DATE: August 17, 2020

LOCATION: Council Chamber, City Hall, 65 Civic Avenue, Pittsburg, CA 94565

CITY COUNCIL/AGENCY MEMBERS

Jelani Killings, Mayor/Chair
Marilyn Craft, Vice-Mayor/Chair
Holland Barrett White, Council/Agency Member
Shanelle Scales-Preston, Council/Agency Member
Juan Antonio Banales, Council/Agency Member
S.L. Floyd, Agency Member
Annie Hill Herring, Agency Member

APPOINTED OFFICIALS

Garrett Evans, City Manager/Executive Director
Donna Mooney, City Attorney/Legal Counsel
Alice E. Evenson, City Clerk/Agency Secretary (elected)
Nancy Parent, City Treasurer (elected)

Pursuant to Section 3 of Executive Order N-29-20, issued by Governor Newsom on March 17, 2020, the regular meeting of the City Council for August 17, 2020 was conducted electronically for Closed Session and through Zoom with broadcasting live through Granicus on the City's website. Please be advised that pursuant to the Executive Order, and to ensure the health and safety of the public by limiting human contact that could spread the COVID-19 virus, the Council Chamber was not open for the meeting. Council Members participated electronically or through Zoom and were not physically present in the Council Chamber.

Mayor Killings called the meeting of the City Council to order at 7:03 P.M. in the City Council Chamber at City Hall, 65 Civic Avenue, Pittsburg, CA after convening at 6:30 P.M. in Closed Session for the following items:

1. **CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS** Pursuant to Section 54957.6:

City designated representatives: Garrett Evans, Stacy Shell, Fritz McKinley, Brad Farmer

Employee organizations: American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees Local 512 Management/Professional/Confidential Unit and Miscellaneous A Unit; Pittsburg Police Officers' Association; Pittsburg Police Managers Group; Teamsters Local 856

Unrepresented employees: Management Group; Senior Executive Team

There was no reportable action taken in Closed Session.

At this time the Mayor announced that due to possible power shutdowns and rolling blackouts the meeting may be disrupted. As a result, he stated the agenda would be

reordered to place the Public Comments at the end of the agenda to allow all business to be conducted prior to any possible disruption.

ROLL CALL

All members were present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

CITY MANAGER REPORTS/REMARKS

City Manager Evans gave an update on the COVID-19 health order for the City of Pittsburgh.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Mayor Killings attended the Finance subcommittee, the Mayors Conference, and the Economic Development roundtable forum.

PITTSBURG POWER COMPANY PUBLIC HEARING

2. Adoption of a Pittsburgh Power Company Resolution Amending the Electric Tariff

Chair Killings opened the Public Hearing. There being no one to speak to the item, Chair Killings closed the Public Hearing.

On Motion by Member Banales, seconded by Member White to adopt and carried unanimously.

CONSIDERATION

3. Allocation of Measure M Funding and Spending Requirements

Comments were received from the following:

Clarisse Carpio
Christine Carpio
Taylor Sims
Dikshya Koirala
Pittsburg Youth Action
Sedan Erwin
Mohammed Azeel
Manisha Rattu

On Motion by Member Banales, seconded by Member White to adopt and carried unanimously.

4. Introduction and Waive First Reading of an Ordinance Amending the Municipal Code Chapter 2.80 Relating to the Establishment and Structure of the Pittsburgh Youth Advisory Commission

Comments were received from the following:

Clarisse Carpio
Taylor Sims
Christine Carpio
Dikshya Koirala
Pittsburg Youth Action
Mohammed Azeel
Manisha Rattu
Wolfgang Croskey

On Motion by Vice-Mayor Craft, seconded by Mayor Killings to introduce and waive first reading of the Ordinance with edits to the age requirement, the make-up of the non-voting adult members, age at appointment and reappointment, and quorum requirements, and carried unanimously.

5. Adoption of a City Council Resolution Establishing Guidelines and Allocating \$250,000 of CARES Act Funding to Launch a City-sponsored COVID-19 Small Business Resiliency Grant Program

A comment was received from Wolfgang Croskey.

On Motion by Vice-Mayor Craft, seconded by Member Scales-Preston to adopt and carried unanimously.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

There were no conflict of interest statements.

COMBINED CITY COUNCIL, PITTSBURG ARTS AND COMMUNITY FOUNDATION, PITTSBURG POWER COMPANY AND SOUTHWEST PITTSBURG GHAD II AND SUCCESSOR AGENCY CONSENT CALENDAR

On Motion by Member Banales, seconded by Member White to adopt and carried unanimously.

6. Minutes of August 3, 2020

7. Adoption of a City Council Resolution Approving the Terms and Conditions of Employment for Employees in the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees Union, Local 512, Miscellaneous A and Management/Professional/Confidential Units for the Period July 1, 2020–June 30, 2021

8. Adoption of a City Council Resolution Accepting the Plans and Specifications, Allocating Funds, and Awarding Project 2216, Range Road Sidewalk Improvement Project

9. Adoption of a City Council Resolution Authorizing the City Manager to Execute a Contract for Automatic Meter Reading Supplies
10. Adoption of a City Council Resolution to Approve the Staff Response to the Contra Costa County Civil Grand Jury FY 2019-2020 Report
11. Adoption of a City Council Resolution to Authorize City Manager to Extend Contract with International Dioxide for Water Treatment Plant Chemical Supply – Sodium Chlorite
12. Adoption of a City Council Resolution Designating a Voting Delegate at the League of California Cities Annual Conference in October 2020
13. Receive and File the Treasurer's Report for the Quarter Ending June 30, 2020

COUNCIL REQUEST FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

On motion by Mayor Killings, seconded by Member White to direct staff to send a letter from the City Council regarding ABAG's RHNA allocations and baseline and adopted unanimously.

COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS AND REMARKS

Member Scales-Preston encouraged everyone to complete the census. She participated in the caravan travelling throughout East County to support the census.

Mayor Killings also participated in the census caravan.

The City Council recessed for ten minutes and reconvened with the following:

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Comments were received from the following:

There were 91 comments received created from a template which were included in those read aloud. Comments read aloud were received from:

Arlene Kobata
Randel Solis
Jeanne Millicam
Aurora Cazares
Aaron Smith
Joanne Fanucchi
Rick Rohr
Sergio Santa Maria
Pam, John Cody Spinadel
Mark Curtis
Karen Case
Shevette Swayze
Diane Phillips
John Buffo

Ellis Craft
Dikshya Koirala
Gregory Osorio
Henry Martinez
Peter Wilson
Bernadette Silva
Terry Bruce
Matthew D'Onofrio
Stephanie Wargo
Kshitiz Gautam
Sharon & Ed Roscher

ADJOURNMENT

The City Council meeting adjourned at 10:12 P.M. to August 24, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Alice E. Evenson, City Clerk

Alice Evenson

From: Pittsburg Youth Action <pittsburgyouthaction@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 4:32 PM
To: citycouncil
Subject: Demand changes: Pittsburg Youth Advisory Commission Ordinance
Attachments: Ordinance No 20-1477.pdf

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

All:

Pittsburg Youth Action has evaluated the summary sheet prepared by Kolette Simonton, Assistant Director of Economic Development and Recreation and Ordinance No. 20-1477 for the Pittsburg Youth Advisory Commission. Upon evaluation, we have found two items in the membership requirement section that we demand changes effective immediately before approving the Ordinance and have attached the ordinance via PDF with the highlighted sections we demand change. Firstly, we do not want the non-voting adult member from the Pittsburg Police Activities League. As a youth organization in Pittsburg, our mission is to disrupt and dismantle systems of oppression that disproportionately impact low-income communities of color such as our own, while uplifting and mobilizing young leaders in our community through grassroots organizing. Having a police adult representative goes against our vision for the Pittsburg Youth Advisory Commission. We demand to be a police-free youth advisory commission and free from community policing by the police. Also, the age requirement is currently 13-18, we demand to change it to 13-24, in accordance with the definition of a "youth" defined by the United Nations and World Health Organization. Now is the time, Garrett Evans, the Pittsburg City Manager and the City Council Members actively listen to the youth living in Pittsburg and not the Pittsburg City staff making decisions for our community without our input. Now is the time to act as elected officials.

Best,
Pittsburg Youth Action

BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PITTSBURG

In the Matter of:

An Ordinance Adding Chapter 2.80)
To the Municipal Code to Establish)
A Youth Advisory Commission)

ORDINANCE NO. 20-1477

The City Council of the City of Pittsburgh DOES ORDAIN as follows:

SECTION 1. Addition of Chapter 2.80 of the Municipal Code, Youth Advisory Commission.

(new text shown in ***bold italic*** font):

A. The City Council hereby adds Chapter 2.80 to the Municipal Code to read as follows:

Chapter 2.80
YOUTH COMMISSION

Sections:

<i>2.80.010</i>	<i>Establishment</i>
<i>2.80.020</i>	<i>Membership</i>
<i>2.80.030</i>	<i>Chairperson</i>
<i>2.80.040</i>	<i>Meetings</i>
<i>2.80.050</i>	<i>Reimbursement for Expenses</i>
<i>2.80.060</i>	<i>Authority and Responsibilities</i>
<i>2.80.070</i>	<i>Committees</i>

2.80.010 Establishment

A Youth Advisory Commission is established by this chapter. The purpose of this commission is to serve as a liaison between Pittsburgh youth and the City Council concerning issues that affect the quality of life for the youth of Pittsburgh. The Youth Advisory Commission provides information, makes recommendations and suggests policies to the City Council on issues of civic engagement and community service.

2.80.020 Membership

A. The Youth Advisory Commission shall be composed of a minimum of nine (9) and a maximum of thirteen (13) youth members and three (3) youth alternates. At least three (3) of the Commission Members must be from middle school and six (6) from high school, unless there are no applicants. Additionally, there shall be four (4) non-voting adult members representing Pittsburgh Police Activities League, Pittsburgh

Unified School District, Los Medanos College, and the At-large Community.

- B. One member of the Community Advisory Commission shall serve as a liaison to the Youth Advisory Commission.*
- C. Youth members must be between the age of 13 years to 18 years at the time of appointment and must reside within the city limits of Pittsburg, California.*
- D. Commission members are selected and appointed by the City Council after submitting completed application and formal interview by the Council.*
- E. The term of office for a youth commissioner is 2 years commencing August 1 and terminating on May 31 or until their successors are appointed. According to City Council policy, members of permanent advisory bodies shall not serve more than two consecutive two-year terms. Members who have served less than four (4) years at the time their terms expire are eligible for reappointment.*

2.80.030 Chairperson

- A. There shall be a chairperson and vice-chairperson, which positions shall be filled pursuant to bylaws. The chairperson and vice-chairperson shall serve for a term of one year. A quorum for the transaction of business shall consist of seven voting members.*

2.80.040 Meetings

- A. Meetings of the youth advisory commission shall be held on a regular basis and not less than ten (10) times per year unless there are extraordinary circumstances or lack of business. Agendas shall be posted and noticed as prescribed by law. Commission members shall attend all meetings or notify staff if they are not able to attend. City staff shall provide the City Council with quarterly attendance reports.*

2.80.050 Reimbursement for Expenses

- A. A member of the youth advisory commission shall not receive compensation for the performance of his or her duties but shall be entitled to reimbursement for the actual and necessary expenses incurred by the member in the performance of his or her official duties for the city.*
- B. The city manager shall submit to the city council for its approval the classes and types of expenses which may be eligible for reimbursement. An item not on the approved list shall be approved before the expenditure*

is made to be eligible for reimbursement. Each item of reimbursement shall be approved by city manager.

In order to obtain reimbursement, a member shall submit evidence of the expenditure within 45 days of the date the expense was incurred.

2.80.060 Authority and Responsibilities

The youth advisory commission shall have the following responsibilities:

- A. Provide input on youth services and programming to the Community Advisory Commission, City Council and to City Staff.***
- B. Address issues that affect youth in the community.***
- C. Assist the Recreation Department with the promotion and implementation of youth activities.***
- D. Generate an annual report on the progress of the Youth Advisory Commission.***
- E. Attend all regular meeting and subcommittee meetings as assigned; attend the activities planned and sponsored by the Youth Advisory Commission; and promote youth activities and provide support for successful implementation of youth activities and programs.***

2.80.070 Committees

The commission may appoint committees. All committees shall be comprised of less than a quorum of the commission. Committees may be appointed for the purpose of studying and advising the commission on any subject within the commission purview of its members comprising less than a quorum of the commission to study and advise the commission on any subject within its purview.

SECTION 2. Severability

If any section, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase or portion of this Ordinance is for any reason held invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct and independent provision, and such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions thereof.

SECTION 3. Publication

The City Clerk shall either (a) have this ordinance published once within 15 days after adoption in a newspaper of general circulation or (b) have a summary of the ordinance published twice in a newspaper of general circulation, once 5 days before its adoption and again 20 days after its adoption.

The foregoing ordinance was introduced at a meeting of the City Council of the City of Pittsburgh held on August 17, 2020, and was adopted and ordered published at a meeting of the City Council held on _____, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINED:

ABSENT:

Jelani Killings, Mayor

ATTEST:

Alice E. Evenson, City Clerk

Alice Evenson

From: Garrett Evans
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 4:09 PM
Cc: Donna Mooney; Alice Evenson; Fritz McKinley
Subject: Power Outage Message For Tonight

Mayor and Members of the City Council,

I have consulted with the City Attorney and City Clerk regarding potential power outages at City Hall this evening.

Mr. Mayor, if you could please make the following announcement at the beginning of the meeting for the viewing public:

In the event City Hall is impacted by a PG&E power outage this evening, the City will attempt to resume the meeting if power is restored within 30 minutes of the outage. If power continues to be off or the system remains down after 30 minutes, the City will end the meeting and continue the agenda items to September 21st.

Please contact me with any questions.

Thank you.

Regards,

Garrett Evans
City Manager
City of Pittsburgh
925-252-4034

Alice Evenson

From: clarisse carpio <clarissecarpio@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 2:19 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - ITEM 3

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Mayor Killings and Pittsburg City Council Members,

As a youth community member, I am completely in support of our youth and ideas regarding the funding for our youth. Although you all decided to allocate Measure M funds towards the youth, I don't think it is acceptable to allocate them until the 2020-2021 fiscal year. I demand those funds to be addressed towards the youth ASAP as we do not want to sit and wait until next year.

With this, as the Pittsburg Police Department receives almost more than 70% of the funds from Measure M sales tax revenue, I'm sure that the PPD has some of that revenue to spare. We need to address these issues involving our youth. This revenue will be able to fund our upcoming youth commission, our recreation center, and so many other resources for our youth to interact and engage in.

As someone who is speaking on the behalf of the youth from the Pittsburg community, I would like to see change happen now for not only our community members but for also our youth. These are just the baby steps to building a stronger and better community. The time to act is now.

Best,
Clarisse Carpio

Alice Evenson

From: Christine Carpio <christine.carpio@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 3:42 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - ITEM 3

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Mayor Killings and Pittsburg City Council,

It is extremely disappointing to hear that the funds for Measure M are not being reallocated to the youth of this city. We should not have to wait until next year to get this type of funding. While 70%+ of Measure M is allocated to the police, it makes me question whether or not our council is listening. Pittsburg Youth Action along with several young community members have been asking this council since June to fund care not cops. Since this council leaves it up to the county in regards to mental health services for this city, the least you can do is provide funding for the youth. The time to act is now.

Best,
Christine Carpio

Alice Evenson

From: Taylor Sims <taylorsims1224@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 3:56 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ITEM NUMBER 3

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Good evening Mayor Killings and Council,

I would first like to thank Council member Merl Craft for getting this on the agenda.

I am commenting today in support of reallocating Measure M sales tax revenue funds from PPD to go towards the youth of Pittsburgh. Our youth need more programs and services in the city and these funds would help provide that. Please vote yes on the reallocation of the Measure M sales tax revenue from PPD to the youth of Pittsburgh.

Again, thank you Council member Merl Craft for your leadership.

With hope,
Taylor Sims

Alice Evenson

3

From: Dikshya Koirala <koirala1997@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 5:12 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ITEM #3

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Please consider addressing funds from measure m to the youth ASAP instead of waiting until next year.

Dikshya

Amanda McVey

From: Pittsburgh Youth Action <pittsburgyouthaction@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 6:05 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: For public comment - item 3

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Pittsburg Youth Action is writing to see changes to the Measure M Fiscal Year 2020-2021 budget allocation. Currently, the Pittsburgh Police Department receives 70% plus from Measure M revenues, which is unacceptable because PPD already receives an alarming amount of funds from the General Funds among other revenues. We demand the City Council members and City Manager to reallocate the funds towards the youth, and senior center for the 2020-2021 fiscal year and not cite it as a future goal. We are not your future goal. We demand the reallocation now. This is the time where the City Manager and City Council Members act like elected officials. Now is the time to act.

Best,
Pittsburg Youth Action

"FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - ITEM 3"

Sedan [sedan.erwin@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 6:32 PM

To: meetingcomments

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Hello Mayor Killings and Council Members,

My name is Sedan Erwin and I am currently a resident of Pittsburg.

It is great to see the youth of Pittsburg working with our city leaders and taking actions to better our community.

I think it is important to fund these youth resources as soon as possible. Funds received from Measure M sales tax should be allocated to our upcoming youth programs this year and not the next fiscal year.

I am hoping that our elected officials will show full support by prioritizing these funds for the Youth Commission and other programs.

Best,

Sedan Erwin

For public comment - agenda item 3

Mohammed Azeel [azeel.mohammed@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 6:35 PM

To: meetingcomments

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

I am writing to you all today in support of Pittsburgh Youth Action's demands for the reallocation of funds for the Measure M revenue. The

Pittsburg Police Department receives 70% plus from Measure M revenues, which is absurd. The youth and senior community of Pittsburg demand those funds to be reallocated for the 2020-2021 fiscal year now and not the next fiscal year. This is the time to act

like elected officials, actively listen to the community of Pittsburg and create meaningful change real time.

Best,
Mohammed

3

for public comment - item 3

Manisha Rattu [mrattu@stanford.edu]

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 6:50 PM

To: meetingcomments

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Councilmembers and Mayor Killings,

Since June, I have heard you repeatedly say that you can make changes to the budget and fiscal allocations. Since then, the city's budget has been approved without any changes, the youth fund has not been established, and Measure M funding still disproportionally goes toward police services.

I recognize that shifting large pools of money around is challenging and difficult, especially amidst the economic crisis associated with the pandemic. But, these shifts are necessary. By putting things off until later years, you're ignoring the current needs of the community. So I ask that you allocate funding towards the youth, whether it be through employment opportunities or other resources. Our young people and their families need support right now and waiting until the following year to make changes is not okay.

I am not in support of the current decision to maintain Measure M allocations and make changes down the road. Money needs to be redirected toward youth services and other community needs immediately.

--

Manisha Rattu

Stanford University | Class of 2019

M.S. Candidate | Earth Systems

B.S. | Earth Systems

Minor | Comparative Studies in Race and Ethnicity (CSRE)

Alice Evenson

4

From: clarisse carpio <clarissecarpio@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 2:18 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - ITEM 4

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Mayor Killings and Pittsburg City Council Members,

Moving forward, I am glad that we can come to an agreement on the Youth Commission. it would serve as a great way to keep our youth engaged with what is going on in their community as well as creating a space for youth community members to engage and interact with each other. However, there are some ideas on your end that I disagree about.

Having a police representative as a part of the youth commission completely defeats the purpose of the youth commission. The youth commission is supposed to be run by the youth and this includes serving the youth as well, as it states in the name. With the police representative, it wouldn't allow the youth to engage and interact with each other freely as opposed to if there was possibly somebody else such as another community member or a youth representative leading the commission.

In addition to this, I would also have to disagree upon the age limit. As stated from the United Nations as well as the World Health Organization the definition of "youth" are from the ages of 13-24 years old. Having an age limit capped at 18 years old, is non-inclusive and leaves out a majority of our youth community members. We shouldn't only have high school students engage in the youth commission but we should also include the ages that are fresh out of high school and college students as well. Increasing the age requirement would allow guidance from those who are older that are a part of the commission and create some type of leadership without having a police representative.

Hopefully we can come to some sort of conclusion with this youth commission, but this is only the beginning to building a better community. With this commission we hope to keep our youth engaged in addition to creating a space for our youth to interact and engage with each other freely. The time to act is now.

Best,
Clarisse Carpio

4

Alice Evenson

From: Taylor Sims <taylorsims1224@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 3:59 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ITEM 4

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Good evening Mayor Killings and Council,

I am commenting this evening in support of the Youth Commission for the city of Pittsburg. I believe it is a great opportunity for young people to get involved in the city and create change. I would however like for you all to increase the age requirement for the Youth Commission from 13-18 to 13-24; this will engage recent Pittsburg graduates. Also, the definition of 'youth' according to the United Nations as well as Work Health Organization is 13-24. Again, I am here to show my support for the Youth Commission, but would like the age requirement to be from 13-24 to engage all of the youth of Pittsburg. Thank you for your time.

With hope,
Taylor Sims

Alice Evenson

From: Christine Carpio <christine.carpio@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 4:00 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - ITEM 4

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Mayor Killings and Pittsburg City Council,

Although this commission is a perfect outlet to get the youth involved in the city there are some aspects that need to be changed.

First and foremost, the presence of a police representative as one of the acting adults on the commission is very disappointing. Why do you think it is a good idea to have a police present during these meetings when the youth have been fighting for the defunding of our police department over the course of three months? Do you think that by having a police representative present the youth would be more prone to listening to their suggestions? We don't need community policing. We already get enough of it with these drive by birthdays and water gun fights.

In addition to suggesting that you make this particular change, you should also change the age range of the commission from ages 13-18 to ages 13-24. According to United Nations and the World Health Organization, the term "youth" is defined as ages 13-24. I should know, as I had suggested this age in the skeleton PYA created for this commission. We should keep in mind that is important to involve youth from this community who had graduated from college. It is important to acknowledge that they want to have a voice and that they also want to make a change.

We need to do better with this commission. I demand that you remove the police representative from the commission and change the age range to 13-24-years-old.

Best,
Christine Carpio

Alice Evenson

4

From: Dikshya Koirala <koirala1997@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 5:15 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ITEM #4

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Also, as a part of the youth in Pittsburg, I advocate not to have a police rep as part of the youth commission. I also would like for the age requirement to be increased to 13-24 to include recent grads as well. Since The World Health Org. defines youth as ages 13-24.
Dikshya

Amanda McVey

From: Pittsburgh Youth Action <pittsburgyouthaction@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 6:05 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: For public comment - item 4

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Pittsburg Youth Action has evaluated the summary sheet prepared by Kolette Simonton, Assistant Director of Economic Development and Recreation and Ordinance No. 20-1477 for the Pittsburg Youth Advisory Commission. Upon evaluation, we have found two items in the membership requirement section that we demand changes effective immediately before approving the Ordinance. Firstly, we do not want the non-voting adult member from the Pittsburg Police Activities League. As a youth organization in Pittsburg, our mission is to disrupt and dismantle systems of oppression that disproportionately impact low-income communities of color such as our own, while uplifting and mobilizing young leaders in our community through grassroots organizing. Having a police adult representative goes against our vision for the Pittsburg Youth Advisory Commission. We demand to be a police-free youth advisory commission. Also, the age requirement is currently 13-18, we demand to change it to 13-24, in accordance with the definition of a "youth" defined by the United Nations and World Health Organization. Now is the time, Garret Evans, the Pittsburg City Manager and the City Council Members actively listen to the youth living in Pittsburg and not the Pittsburg City staff making decisions for our community without our input. Now is the time to act.

Best,
Pittsburg Youth Action

for public comment - agenda item 4

Mohammed Azeel [azeel.mohammed@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 6:41 PM

To: meetingcomments

4

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

I am writing in support of

Pittsburg Youth Action's evaluation of the summary sheet prepared by Kolette Simonton, Assistant Director of Economic Development and Recreation and Ordinance No. 20-1477 for the Pittsburg Youth Advisory Commission. Upon evaluation, Pittsburg Youth Action has found two items in the membership requirement section that need to be changed effective immediately before

approving the Ordinance. The first conflict is the inclusion of the non-voting adult member from the Pittsburg Police Activities League. Having a police adult representative goes against the youth's vision for the Pittsburg Youth Advisory Commission.

We demand to be a police-free youth advisory commission. Also, the age requirement is currently 13-18, we demand to change it to 13-24, in accordance with the definition of a "youth" defined by the United Nations and World Health Organization. Now is the time, the Pittsburg City Manager and the City Council Members need to actively listen to the youth living in

Pittsburg and not the Pittsburg City staff making decisions for our community without our input. The time to act is now.

Best,
Mohammed

4

For public comment - item 4

Manisha Rattu [mrattu@stanford.edu]

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 6:44 PM

To: meetingcomments

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Hello Councilmembers and Mayor Killings,

Thank you for pushing forward with the Youth Commission. Unfortunately, this Youth Commission does not include young people between the ages of 18-24 whose voices are crucial in this space. College students, recent grads, and young working professionals must be included in this Commission because their insight combined with younger residents' thoughts can help innovate this community.

As someone who moved away from Pittsburg for school and returned after 5 years, I have a lot of ideas and insight around ways to uplift our community. I've seen interesting programs across cities and communities that I believe can help revitalize this community. The Youth Commission must include young residents between the ages of 13 and 24.

Furthermore, I am not in support of having a non-voting adult member from the Pittsburg Police Activities League on the youth commission. This Commission should be entirely a space for the youth. It does not make any sense to have adult liaisons representing the police to be a part of this space.

I am requesting that you do not approve this commission unless you amend the age requirement to 13-24 years old, which is what PYA originally proposed, and remove the adult member who represents the Police Activities League.

Thank you.

--

Manisha Rattu

Stanford University | Class of 2019

M.S. Candidate | Earth Systems

B.S. | Earth Systems

Minor | Comparative Studies in Race and Ethnicity (CSRE)

"FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - ITEM 4"

Sedan [sedan.erwin@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 6:50 PM

To: meetingcomments

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Mayor Killings and Pittsburg City Council Members,

My name is Sedan Erwin. I am a current 23 year old resident of Pittsburg.

I am happy to see that Pittsburg is moving forward with the Youth Commission, but find a few details troubling and confusing.

First, I am not fond of having a police representative in the Youth Commission. Police presence for young POC is not welcoming, especially given the recent events surrounding police brutality. I am baffled that this was even a proposed option at this time

Second, the age requirement for the Youth Commission should not be limited to those 13-18 years old. The Youth Commission should have a wider age range at 13-24 years old. I am sure that you are aware that many people advocating for the Youth Commission are recent and current college students. Also, the United Nations defines the youth as those 15-24 years old, so it would not be abnormal to expand the age range.

Thank you,

Sedan Erwin

Public Comment Item 4: Youth Council

Wolfgang Croskey [wolfgang@mypittsburgchamber.org]

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 8:06 PM

To: meetingcomments

Cc: citycouncil

External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments

Council,

I request that a representative from the Pittsburg Chamber of Commerce have a seat on the council. We would love to help support and develop future business community members in Pittsburg. Thank you

|

--

Wolfgang Croskey - CEO

email | wolfgang@mypittsburgchamber.org

Text - Phone - Fax | (925) 432-7301

web | www.mypittsburgchamber.org

Serving Pittsburg for over 100 years

Public Comment Item 5: \$250,000 CARES Act Funding

Wolfgang Croskey [wolfgang@mypittsburgchamber.org]

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 7:41 PM

To: meetingcomments

Cc: citycouncil

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Good evening members of the City Council,

I want to thank City Manager Garrett Evans and Jordan Davis for organizing this effort. \$250,000 will go a long way to help many of our businesses in Pittsburg. Both Garrett and Jordan have met with me many times to discuss the funds, how to provide the biggest impact with the funds, how to distribute the funds, and what would be the criteria to qualify for the funds. The need for assistance in the business community is great. I have personally met with many owners who were in tears and some at very dark places contemplating some very fatal solutions. The Chamber of Commerce has done what we can to help and I have been a shoulder to cry on, a sounding board, and the recipient of pure frustration from so many and think that these funds will help, but there is more that needs to be done.

We have many landlords that are in very dire need of relief. Imagine going to work every day and not getting paid. You still provide a full 8 hours a day of work. You still meet all your employers demands. You do this every day and each day you don't get paid. Your boss even tells you that there is no money, but hang in there till next week as maybe things will get better. You do this for 6 months and no change. Your boss then shows up one day in a new car after just telling you there is no money.

There are many landlords in this boat. They are paying their bills, taking care of properties, addressing service requests from tenants and not getting rent. And imagine how you would feel if your tenant then shows up in a new car. How would you feel? I can tell you that this situation is very real and is happening. Many tenants have used the funds to cover basic living and use it for fun because the government has protected them and made them untouchable. I implore you to reevaluate the eviction moratorium. I would ask that you remove the Pittsburg moratorium and have Pittsburg fall under the states order. If you do not see this as possible, can we at least require the same paperwork the county has approved for tenants to document financial hardship? If a tenant is truly having a hard time a landlord will work with them, but what the council has done is created the ultimate protection for tenants to live for free.

Please start providing assistance to our businesses by approving the \$250,000 grant, but PLEASE don't stop there. Landlords are a business and need help as well. We can't expect a Landlord to keep their property up and make improvements if we are not willing to support them.

--

Wolfgang Croskey - CEO

email | wolfgang@mypittsburgchamber.org

Text - Phone - Fax | (925) 432-7301

web | www.mypittsburgchamber.org

Serving Pittsburg for over 100 years

Amanda McVey

From: ARLENE KOBATA <flycrow@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 5:59 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: Meeting comment 8/17 council meeting

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Good evening Mayor, Councilmembers, Staff, My name is Siu Ling, Community Advisory Commissioner. With the grand opening of Five Star Burger on Harbor, a lot of residents have tried it out and were very pleased with the service as well as the quality of the food served. There is one thing that is concerning, and that is the amount of questionable activity in the parking lot that it is located. There are RVs, abandoned vehicles, cars being worked on, trash strewn about and people generally just hanging out there and not conducting business with the tenants the run the shops there. Some residents have expressed concern that this may contribute to the newly opened restaurants demise because some are afraid to go there, because they do not feel safe. I was wondering if either the owner of the property can be alerted to this and take necessary action such as having the parking lot lighting on at nights again and cleaning it of debris, or if the police have time, maybe they can make a couple of passes through the lot periodically to assure the safety of not only the merchants but of the patrons as well. Thank you for your consideration.

Alice Evenson

From: Gregory Osorio <greg@compleader.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 5:21 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

Below are comments from a template, however I believe that they are were well written and agree with the spirit of comments. This is just the beginning of hillside development which will dramatically impact the pristine view of hill that we enjoy on the hills, and in this case significantly affects residents of Concord, further developments will slowly begin along ridgelines further east along the hills. Ridgelines must be protected with a vertical setback of any development on hills and a lower density of housing units per acre as development proceeds up hills (we do not want a Daily City look on our hillsides, it would ruin the natural resource that we all enjoy).

Separately from this project, any development around vicinity of Bailey Road, which will increase traffic potentially must mandate substantial developer fees to pay for widening and cement divider in middle of Bailey Road, this is probably the most dangerous stretch of road in Pittsburg.

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Gregory Osorio
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Henry Martinez <martinezhj@msn.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 8:00 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Stop buying/ selling out to Home developers' money! Instead divert your energies to bring quality businesses like "Sprouts" to the Buchannon Shopping center.

Its time to do right for Pittsburg to sustain itself and flourish.

Sincerely,

Henry R. Martinez

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Daniel Clark <danielclark317@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 5:23 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Traffic is bad enough all over California, but especially with a majority of east bay residents commuting to areas like San Francisco, San Ramon, etc. on a daily basis just to make a living. Pittsburg does not need anymore congestion, Pittsburg needs business. Pittsburg needs grocery stores, restaurants, and care facilities.

Sincerely,

Daniel Clark

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Peter Wilson <peteralbertwilson@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 10:05 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

I realize I am sending a letter that has been sent to many residents of Pittsburg in the hope that we would forward it on to the Council. Nevertheless, I support the intent of the letter. In my experience, Seeno is a developer that has proven he cannot be trusted, and the City is making a mistake to do business with his company. I especially find myself in agreement with the sentiments and facts presented in the third and fourth paragraphs.

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Peter A. Wilson
190 Pelican Loop
Pittsburg, CA 94565



Virus-free. www.avast.com

Alice Evenson

From: Bernadette Silva <bpettit_2000@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:48 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

You need to stop saying yes to Seeno. Seeno wants to build more houses but don't want to improve the roadways or build schools and ignore the environmental impact; it's Seeno's history. They do not care Stop letting Seeno's money control you; everyone knows that Seeno + Pittsburg City Council = \$\$\$\$. The City really needs to listen to the residents. Our schools or roadways can't handle anymore.

And why would you all want houses on our hills? Do you want Pittsburg to become another San Francisco?

The Faria home owners will do all their business and schooling in Concord; these homes WILL NOT benefit Pittsburg.

SAY NO TO SEENO!

Bernadette Silva
Pittsburg

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Terry Bruce <kodybruce@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:36 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

It's been no building on hill tops for a long time. It will pave the way for any builder to ruin the Beauty of the hills all around Pittsburgh. You don't see any other builders in Contra Costa County building on hilltop's. It will look like San Marcos where they alter all the land on top of the hills and they filled in the ravines to where you can't even recognize what it used to look like. you know old man faria would not let it happen. I say a big NO on the Faria /sw hills annexation. Thanks for listening to me. Terry

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Matthew DOnofrio <remydavout@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:33 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Seeno's project on the hills between Pittsburg and the old Concord Naval Weapons Station is already an environmental disaster with a section of the hillside collapsing. Seeno doesn't care about the environment, safety, or quality. Only dollar signs.

Sincerely,

Matthew D'Onofrio

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Stephanie <thebestbriefs@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:21 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

During non-Covid times, the commute into other parts of the bay area is already extremely onerous. Adding more houses out here, while not adding more services and freeway access will make life less bearable.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Wargo
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Stephanie Wargo-Wilson

Alice Evenson

From: Kshitiz Gautam <ks Gautam@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 5:22 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

You guys dont care how much we are suffering, we cannot go anywhere because of COVID-19 and outside is all dusty because of the digging by seeno hills.. We do not want to live like this forever.... We will do what we can to stop this!!!

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Kshitiz Gautam
Resident of Pittsburg CA

Alice Evenson

From: Sharon Grant-Roscher <sharonroscher@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:17 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

So, are you or Seeno/Discovery Builders planning on a private heli-pad for all these people? If passed, I truly hope so because that many cars will NEVER fit on Hwy 4 or any of the side streets! And one wind storm and one spark and everything will go up in flames and bring it all down to us below them! Get real, folks! Growth is nice but this project is ridiculous and typical Seeno! **BIG is NOT Better!**

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Sharon & Ed Roscher
477 W 10th Street
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Ellis Craft <elliscraft@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2020 5:38 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT – NON AGENDA."

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Hello. I just would like to comment on some observations that I've noticed since moving to Pittsburg back in 2014.

I reside in the Vista Del Mar housing development. When I first moved here, the sellers of our home promised many fine amenities, restaurants, and a school were slated to come into our area. A little over 6 years and all that I've seen is an underdeveloped park (no trees, no basketball courts, no boccee ball courts, no walking trails) as promised. The park is laughable compared to neighboring cities. The explanation, funding wasn't there and basically this is what we're stuck with.

We're constantly receiving updates on the Nextdoor website from Planning Department, the city etc. in regards to more new houses coming soon to our area. Do you have any idea the amount of traffic (pre-COVID-19) that accumulates on San Marco and Leland during the weekdays? But none the less, homes are constantly green-lighted (I'm sure money is the driving force). Homes equal money, I get it. I see the construction crews every morning as of late, working feverishly to surround us in more homes.

We did get an Arco gas station added awhile back and I'd be remissed if I left out the 'Krunchy Chicken' restaurant?

We moved here, as did many of our neighbors, for a better quality of life. We purchased large homes and unfortunately the amenities are far lacking, when you consider the amount of taxes our community pays back into the city.

While I understand you seem to envision Railroad Avenue as the hub of Pittsburg (almost every new commercial venture and development) is centered around Railroad. There is more to Pittsburg than Railroad and the Marina. My wife brought to my attention a new Bart Transit hub center (with commercial and housing) is coming to Pittsburg in the future. I told her let me guess, somewhere near Railroad? And unsurprisingly I was right.

I don't know what the Master Plan or long term goals for this city are, but from where I sit, it appears one-sided (Railroad & Marina) and short-sighted (just my opinion). The cities of Antioch and Brentwood (and Concord recently) are leaving us in the dust as far as commercial development and creating a positive city image.

My family and I shouldn't have to travel to those cities to enjoy nice, clean and viable amenities. I don't want to move, I like it here. But if things continue on their present trajectory, I don't see any other recourse.

I know you all have a difficult job, I respect and appreciate all that you do. I was a public servant for 27 years (retired) and thank you for your service. I just wanted to voice my concerns, from where I sit. God bless you all.

Concerned resident.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Alice Evenson

From: Dikshya Koirala <koirala1997@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 4:27 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT PERJ MEETIN 8/12

MONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

I strongly think there should be an ethnic studies course at pUSD!

Dikshya

Alice Evenson

From: Solis, Randel <solis.randel@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 10:16 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

I've been following the updates on this project and I still haven't seen a reasonable explanation as to how traffic would be addressed. Additionally, I know that other organizations have expressed that the developers have yet to produce a good environmental studies report.

I drive Bailey Road fairly regularly, and I can't imagine how much traffic this project will add. I'm frustrated that this project is even being considered without good enough proposals as to how the traffic issue will be addressed. Despite the pandemic, Highway 4 still has so much congestion in the afternoon; adding a new big community that will contribute to that congestion seems like hell.

Borrowing some statements from others:

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Randel Solis
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Jeanne Millecam <JeanneMillecam@ussposco.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 8:00 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

With the **COVID 19 virus, people are dying, and businesses are closed and how many of them will be losing their businesses for good?** Really building more expensive homes on the beautiful Hills. **REJECT** This is not the answer. We have homeless all over the Bay Area. And they want to build more homes. For who?, who can afford them? **What jobs??** Once these homes are built Seeno/Discovery Builders will take all their Billions and run as far from here as they can. Will they add or fix all the roads??, because of all the traffic, will they build a wildlife park, at their expense? Oh yeah! what about our own families, that live here now??? Please! for the goodness and hope of the people, children, **ENVIRONMENT** and your own families, **Reject** this project. Oh yeah, and building low income housing doesn't solve the problem, don't we have enough of that with all the apartments, Seeno/Discovery Builders have built and are still building?? The rent is outrageous, Seeno/Discovery Builders are not only hurting the Hills and environment, they hurting all of us.

Keep are beautiful Hills. Enough is enough.

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Millecam
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Aurora Cazares <aurora.cazares@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 10:35 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

This project should not be considered at all. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This project will reduce Pittsburg resident's quality of life. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

Once again this project should not be considered at all.

Sincerely,

Aurora Cazares

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Aaron G. Smith <ag_smith@outlook.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 8:13 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

MONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

I'm actually in favor of more housing development, but against covering the ridgeline, as that is an extreme violation of the natural landscape that is going to be a major attraction of the east bay as the bay area in general continues to grow.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Aaron Smith
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Alice Evenson

From: Joanne Fanucchi <no.dapl.ccc@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:47 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: Public Comment non agenda

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

To whom it may Concern,

There are so many items on this agenda that one cannot possibly keep up with all your moves.

I can see that in preparation for proposed projects like PTP, the Recreation Center and Faria, you're already reconfiguring West Leland as a major thoroughfare. Measure M is a mess and written very poorly. The PGE work being done on Alvarado and Railroad can't be for anything else than to bring more energy into the area so Atchison can eat it up. They lied about it to my face, of course.

What i I have to say is this and Pittsburg Youth Action I hope you're listening. Look at the city's mid and upper management salaries. Look at their benefits. And look at their pensions. Then calculate who is most overpaid - the police or the city or both. It's unconscionable that Public Servants pull in such Corporate Salaries. Who else out here has a pension? Not us. They need to feel the bite like we do, and realign their worth to reality.

In truth,

--

Joanne Fanucchi, MS TCM, L.Ac.

Alice Evenson

From: rrohr@juno.com
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:15 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

You know what? Money makes the World go round. Of course you know that to be true...but when it comes down to REAL money in your pockets...does it matter that it might not be right to accept so much cash?...Please keep our hills EMPTY of more houses, no matter how tempted you all might be by the big money being offered.

Resist the huge selfish urge to line your pockets at the expense of everyone else that will be impacted by more building. Please have some individual integrity and be one of the VERY few people not swayed by greed.

Sincerely,
Rick Rohr

Alice Evenson

From: Sergio Santa Maria <srsantam@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:45 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: Resident comment on Faria/Southwest Hills Annexation Project, AP-10-717

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Hi all,

I have been a resident of the San Marco neighborhood for the past 4.5 years... home owner and tax payer (Villa Terrace Drive to be exact). This quick e-mail is to express my opposition to the Seeno/Faria development project (officially the Faria/Southwest Hills Annexation Project, AP-10-717). Besides perhaps some financial gain, I do not understand why the City would even consider such an impactful project on Pittsburg hills.

Anybody that lives around the area already knows of the impact the undergoing construction on Santa Teresa Drive is having on our daily lives. All you need to do is take a quick drive from San Marco Blvd onto Santa Teresa Dr and you can see the amount of noise, dirt build up (everywhere), and the horrendous views of the hill side... cannot even imagine just buying a new house next to this site. Now, imagine that multiplied a few times for the Faria project. The impact on the views from all over (Concord, Bay Point, Pittsburg) will be huge, the increase on traffic level and commuting time, the lack of (proper) grocery stores at close distance, and, most importantly (and as a biologist), the effects on wild life will be irreversible (not talking about the cows, but all the other animals that "used" to reside before construction started).

To resume all this: PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN, DO NOT APPROVE!!!

Regards,

Sergio R. Santa Maria
1237 Villa Terrace Dr.

Alice Evenson

From: Pamela Spinadel <jcspinadel@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:09 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: Save Pittsbur Hills
Importance: High

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburgh City Counsel,

We are opposed to any new housing developments in our small community of Pittsburgh.

- Our freeways and other infrastructures are presently overcrowded, congested, inadequate and under-maintained
- BART is overcrowded and congested
- Our schools are overcrowded
- New homes will not solve our homeless problem

I understand the desire for more tax dollars, however the best job that our City Council can do for the citizens of this delicate community is to protect us from the onslaught of over ambitious and greedy development which will destroy our pleasantly small town and beautiful hills and open spaces among the surrounding giants. What should be done is to improve on what currently exists. There are many empty houses that could and should be renovated and sold to homeowners. Making our neighborhoods more desirable for home ownership would be a much better use of our planning commission and growing of city funds.

Please vote NO on the Faria Project.

Sincerely,

Pam, John, Cody Spinadel

158 Marks Blvd

Pittsburg

Alice Evenson

From: MarkO <mocurtis1657@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:27 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The city of Pittsburg over all the years that I have lived here has caved to the Seeno company regularly. Why should this situation be any different? Seeno lines the pockets of the bureaucrats and politicians which is the norm. I think the project is preposterous, but based on past performance by local folks in control, I expect it to happen. Make sense or not!

[Mark O. Curtis, 4245 Campbell Dr.]

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Alice Evenson

From: Karen Case <karenacase@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 8:56 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: Comment on Proposed Faria Project in Pittsburg Hills

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear City Council:

Please deny Seeno the proposed Faria Project. These hills are a gift to all to explore and enjoy wildlife. We enjoy being able to provide habitat for the birds, mammals, and reptiles that need the hills to survive. The Burrowing Owl may soon be extinct without providing habitat, especially in a time of climate change that challenges wildlife more than ever. Also, we do not have the extra water to provide for these extra homes. We are already an over-populated State and County and every issue of The Pioneer newspaper our East County Fire Chief reminds the public that we have too many closures of fire departments and are under-staffed in the county fire dept. given our ballooned population. We also do not have the roads and highways to accommodate thousands more cars. The county roads are already down to rubble and are often unsafe. Why would you allow building when the effect will be so terrible and disastrous?

You have already allowed too many building projects that don't seem to have improved the quality of life for citizens and especially for children. Please do not be so unethical that you would increase the suffering for us all and for wildlife. We desperately need these hills to be protected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen Case, LCSW
925-693-0089

Alice Evenson

From: Shevette Swayze <shevetteswayze@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 6:27 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Resident Concerns about Faria project

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

I am writing to you today as a concerned resident of Pittsburg, and am asking that you take this letter into consideration.

I am concerned the Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will have a significantly negative impact on the quality of life for current Pittsburg residents. I am concerned about the traffic in Pittsburg and as well as how the project will threaten the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. I am concerned the project will have significant negative impacts on wildlife.

As a Pittsburg resident, I care a great deal about the quality and beauty of our community and am concerned the project will seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This project does not benefit The Pittsburg community, nor the environment. As a matter of fact, the environmental analysis that has been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. As a Pittsburg resident, I am asking you, the City Council, to ensure this.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The number of homes should be reduced so as to have less impact on traffic, to ensure the ridgeline is protected, as well as ensure open space is added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

As a concerned resident of Pittsburg, who is very much interested in the quality of the community I live in, I ask you to re-evaluate the project and to ensure it provides our community the best possible long-term outcomes environmentally, aesthetically, economically, and doesn't further burden existing and future residents with additional traffic, which will negatively impact the quality of our lives.

With warm regards,
Shevette Swayze-Venters
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: DIANE PHILLIPS <dphillipsrvt@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 8:04 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: NO FARIA

NONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

As a long time resident of Pittsburg and an owner of a substandard Seeno home, I say NO TO FARIA. No more building on the hills, too much traffic already.
Diane Phillips

Alice Evenson

From: John Buffo <jebuffo@pacbell.net>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 3:05 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

VONAGENDA

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

Please don't let the Faria Project be approved. The Pittsburg hills are one of the last bastions of environmental beauty we need to hold onto. There is no discernible way the environment is considered capable of supporting this destructive project.

Further the current infrastructure is not compatible with ever increasing drivers on the highway, and adding in 1,000 new homos will do nothing but exacerbate this issue.

The environment should be protected, and infrastructure should take priority over any new projects.

Please consider the importance of these factors for our posterity.

Thank you

John, Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Nina Collosi-Martinez <ncollosi@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 10:23 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Nina Collosi-Martinez

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: tea cup <ms_teacup_2000@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 10:28 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Abi Gudgin] Resident of Pittsburg, CA
Enough is enough!!

Alice Evenson

From: Darren Fuller <fullerdarwin83@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 10:20 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should

be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for

Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Alice Evenson

From: Angel Contreras <angelcm777@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 8:20 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Angel Contreras

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Fergy <pfergy@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 8:16 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Lilia <liliam_delacruz@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 8:07 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

[Your Name] Lilia De La Cruz

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Gwen Armstrong <gwennysue@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 7:52 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Gwen Armstrong <gwennysue@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 7:51 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Susan K Armstrong
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: liria gonzalez <lgonzalez2202@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 7:15 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Erica Mariscal <emariscal42@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 6:27 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Erica Mariscal
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Alba Velasquez <avlsqa5@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 12:57 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Alba Velasquez
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Connor Murphy <murphy.connor93@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 9:49 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Connor Murphy

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Sofia Genove <sofiajg@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 9:46 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Sofia Genove
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Christine Muller <christine.muller.11@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 9:32 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Matthew Hembd <hembd060677@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 9:14 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Alvin Kimmel <alvinkimmel@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 9:08 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

I can barely get out of my city to drive west as it is.

Sincerely,

Alvin Kimmel

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Chanelle Nelson <chanelle.nelson@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 9:04 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Chanelle Nelson
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Kristin Tanyag <kjtanyag@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 8:35 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Kristin T.

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Theresa Troche <trtroche@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:53 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Marcos, Theresa and Anthony Troche
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Theresa Troche
Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Claudia Poggi <poggi.claudia@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 8:05 PM
To: meetingcomments; poggiclaudia64@gmail.com
Subject: poggiclaudia64@gmail.com

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens

the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been

done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the

project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional

park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Claudia Poggi

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Alice Evenson

From: Lara Ablang <lmablang@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:54 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. Not only will this snarl traffic but will also make Leland and our local grocery store so impacted that residents would have to leave Pittsburg this hurting our local economy. Instead of putting money back into Pittsburg, this would actually cause people to shop outside of the city, without the local support for our local businesses this would hinder in our community to thrive. It would also have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Lara Clark

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

"Success is only meaningful and enjoyable if it feels like your own" -Michelle Obama

Alice Evenson

From: Dikshya Koirala <koirala1997@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:48 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Again, really think about what you are doing. Please do not go ahead with this plan.

Sincerely,
Dikshya
A Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Dikshya

Alice Evenson

From: Gabriela Martinez <gabriela_2698@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:43 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Gabriela Martinez

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Zilaikha Eakin <zilaikhaeakin@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:28 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Zilaikha Eakin

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Jenna Cabuhat <cabuhat@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:27 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Jenna cabuhat
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Tali Tolliver <tollivertr@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:26 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Tali Tolliver

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Ethan Scheeper <ethanscheeper@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:17 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Vicky Nguyen -Thatcher <bich4ng@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:11 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Carrie Locatelli <carebre@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:08 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. It will also further flood the neighborhood with additional residents causing further gridlock. There is already an impactful Seeno Project underway in San Marco causing dust across the neighborhood, which is an environmental impact on its residents who are breathing in the dust. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Carrie Locatelli
Resident of Pittsburg, CA/San Marco Area

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Monica Heuer <monicaheuer@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 7:03 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will add to the already congested traffic in Pittsburg. It threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around which is one of the reasons we moved here. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Mychael & Monica Heuer
Resident's of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Ted Mullins <tedmullins505@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:59 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Ted Mullins
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: felice calderoni <fp.calderoni@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:52 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Felice Calderoni

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Vikramvir Singh <vikramvir89@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:41 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Vikramvir Singh

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Ross Tentoco <rjtentoco@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:42 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Latasha Malone <lmalone77.lm@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:25 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Latasha Malone
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Karamjit Bedi <bedikaramjit@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:19 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. When we bought our property in this neighborhood we were told that the hills are the beauty of this place and this was one of the reasons we moved here.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

[K.J. Bedi]

Resident of San Marco, Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Michelle Partida <mpartida67@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:17 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: Faria Project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. Our infrastructure in this city is already reaching its limits. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. We as a city are so fortunate to have rolling hill and an abundance of nature and beauty. Why take it away for the almighty dollar. They gain and we lose as a community. We deal with stressors of everyday life and this will just add to it.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Michelle Partida
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Jose Morales <josemorales49@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:09 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
José Jesús Morales Pulido
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Nico Moran <nicomoran925@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:05 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Ricardo Nicolas Moran

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Luke Elgstrand <luke.elgstrand@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:04 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Luke Elgstrand
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: jessica robles <robles.jessica@live.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:04 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Jessica,

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Alice Evenson

From: Kirsten Upshaw <kirsten.upshaw@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:56 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Kirsten Upshaw
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Marcia Cole <marcie1943@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:48 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: DON <don103105@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:46 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. The developer must ensure multiple access and exist from the Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project so not to cause congestion and traffic gridlock especially accessing Highway 4 where there are only two freeway ramps. Please consider the repair cost from the abuse and damage to the streets and roads use by heavy equipment vehicles and trucks. In addition, consider underground PG&E lines so the high wind would not result in the power shut down in the community. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

The environmental analysis that's been done needs to be reviewed in it totality of the project for it to be complete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected as it is incomplete. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely,
Donald Hom, Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Prolet Miteva <prolet@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:41 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Prolet Miteva & Welbon I Salaam

Residents of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: mark velasquez <mav4309109@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:40 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project MONEY HUNGRY, GREEDY, AND DISGUSTING

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Mark V
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: kim baxter <kvbaxter2002@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:40 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens

the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been

done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the

project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional

park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, Kimberly Baxter Resident of Pittsburg, CA

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Alice Evenson

From: Suzanne Belleci <belleci@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:35 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely, Susie Belleci, Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Jennifer Belmore <jenn.belmore@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:33 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridge line should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trail heads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Nick & Jennifer Belmore, Residents of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: sxeeiis <sxeeiis@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:28 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Danielle Wharton
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

Alice Evenson

From: nora chan <norlchan@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:20 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

~Nora~

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Marisol Herrera <mariso.hc14@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:19 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should

be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for

Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Alice Evenson

From: Sela Tuakalau <sela25@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:16 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Sela Tuakalau
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Janelle Kessler <coco_parsifal@fastmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:16 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Janelle Kessler

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Z bradford <zenobiabradford7@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:15 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders is shitty and unnecessary. It will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

The road less traveled...

Alice Evenson

From: gurpreet singh <gurp316@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:13 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Cynthia <townlove510@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:12 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Andre Epstein <apandre@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:10 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

André Epstein

14 Fawn Glen Ct

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: yeseniabonilla43@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:08 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Yesenia Ramirez-Bonilla
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: lindaq <lindaq@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 5:07 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Linda Quick

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Roger Alsop <rogeralsop@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 12:14 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Roger & Kelly Alsop
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone
Roger Alsop

Alice Evenson

From: Pedro Villela <pvillela77@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 2:00 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Pedro Villela

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Pedro Villela <pvillela77@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 2:04 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Edelmira Villela

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Pedro Villela <pvillela77@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 2:05 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

I Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Gabriel Villela

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Pedro Villela <pvillela77@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 2:05 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

I Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Josue Villela

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: dina gamboa <d-gamboa@att.net>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 2:14 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Alice Evenson

From: Vicente Durante <vicentedurante@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 9:08 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Vicente Durante
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: mirandainlondon@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, August 15, 2020 3:47 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Miranda Fay
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Kimberly Wilkerson <kmwilkerson04@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2020 12:36 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens

the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been

done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the

project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional

park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, Kimberly Wilkerson Resident of Pittsburg, CA

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Alice Evenson

From: Frankie Mutulo <frankiejanea@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 15, 2020 5:27 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Frankie Mutulo

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Russell Lu <russelldlu@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 15, 2020 4:53 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

I have been a Pittsburg resident for the past 4 years and have fallen in love with the scenery, history, and community. However, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Russell Lu
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Jim Mull <jimmull@outlook.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 15, 2020 3:51 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

James Mull
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Charlene Chand <charlenepchand@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 15, 2020 1:20 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Jaz Chand

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Charlene Chand <charlenepchand@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 15, 2020 1:20 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: SAVE PITTSBURG'S HILLS. STOP ENVIRONMENTAL INJUSTICE.

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Charlene Chand
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Charlene Chand <charlenepchand@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 15, 2020 1:19 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

Charlene Chand

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Charles Simonds <chassimonds@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 15, 2020 10:49 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic worse than it already is, in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, Charles Simonds Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Charles Simonds
chassimonds@aol.com

Alice Evenson

From: Maria Mariscal <maria.mariscal@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 11:34 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Y ^ A ^ H ^ 0 ^ 0 <edgar94565@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 9:11 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Edgar Thompson] Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: Elizabeth Peterson <elizabethpeterson60@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 7:23 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around.

This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts.

If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]

Resident of Pittsburg, CA

--

Elizabeth Peterson

CANINE CLARITY

925.286.8875

canineclarity.wordpress.com

Alice Evenson

From: Maria Garcia <mari_egl@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 5:50 PM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council,

The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park.

Sincerely,
Maria Garcia
Resident of Pittsburg, CA

Alice Evenson

From: michael scott <mike4004@att.net>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2020 7:28 AM
To: meetingcomments
Subject: FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - Faria project: bad environmental review, bad project

****External Sender: Use caution before opening links or attachments****

Dear Pittsburg City Council, The Faria/SW Hills Annexation Project proposed by Seeno/Discovery Builders will snarl traffic in Pittsburg and threatens the new regional park on the Concord side of the hills. It would have significant negative impacts on wildlife, and would seriously degrade the views of the beautiful hills from all around. This is a bad project, and the environmental analysis that's been done for it is incomplete. No specific development plans were submitted for review even though they exist. The current Environmental Impact Report should be rejected. The entire project should be analyzed and the public fully informed of its impacts. If the project is considered at all, it should be greatly improved. Development should be clustered on lower elevations so that it's not visible from all around and reduces other impacts. The ridgeline should be protected, and open space added to the new regional park. Trailheads and public access for Pittsburg residents should be provided through the project to the new regional park. Sincerely, [Your Name] Resident of Pittsburg, CA we want to keep our hills green please keep it that way thank you Michael Scott



City of Pittsburg

65 Civic Avenue • Pittsburg, California 94565

September 11, 2020

Jesse Arreguin, President
ABAG Executive Board
375 Beale Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94105

RE: Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) Housing Methodology

President Arreguin,

The City of Pittsburg thanks you and the ABAG Housing Methodology Committee (HMC) for your efforts in developing a methodology to distribute the Bay Area region's share of the Regional Housing Need Determination (RHND) received from the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). We recognize that this is a formidable task, and one that will shape the region for years to come, with highly passionate voices advocating for social equity through this effort.

We also recognize the need for increased housing opportunities for all. However, we strongly believe that increasing housing alone does not adequately address the economic hurdles many in the region are facing, nor does it ensure the sustainability of the Bay Area and the quality of life our denizens deserve. To this end, the City of Pittsburg urges the Executive Board to advocate for increased housing opportunities without forsaking the region's enduring commitment toward reducing environmental impacts, long commutes, and greenhouse gas emissions.

The City of Pittsburg affirms support of the Contra Costa Mayors' Conference letter to the ABAG HMC, dated August 7, 2020, which endorses the use of "Plan Bay Area 2050" as the baseline methodology and encouraging housing development in proximity to jobs, which would in turn reduce transit and transportation congestion, helping to alleviate long region-wide commutes, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions in a manner consistent with both AB 32 and SB 375. Further, as part of any future housing strategy, we fully support policies that bring more jobs to eastern Contra Costa County.


Lastly, we advocate for HCD to allow jurisdictions to continue to count entitlements that exceed requirements from our current RHNA cycle toward their respective future allocations, as the dissolution of redevelopment agencies has eliminated most cities' ability to dictate development timelines.

We are thankful for your continuing facilitation and leadership and look forward to working with ABAG to help ensure that this effort does not unravel other enduring commitments to environmental protection and traffic congestion relief.

Sincerely,



Jelani Killings
Mayor




Meri Craft
Vice Mayor



Holland Barrett White
Councilmember



Shanelle Scales-Preston
Councilmember



Juan Antonio Banales
Councilmember